

1
2
3
Natalie M. Cox

Honorable Natalie M. Cox
United States Bankruptcy Judge



4 Entered on Docket
5 March 08, 2023

6 STEPHEN R. HARRIS, ESQ.
7 Nevada Bar No. 001463
8 HARRIS LAW PRACTICE LLC
9 850 E. Patriot Way, Suite F
Reno, NV 89511
10 Telephone: (775) 786-7600
Facsimile: (775) 786-7764
11 Email: steve@harrislawreno.com
12 Attorneys for Nate and Michelle Mudd
and Home Run Northstar, LLC

13 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
14 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

15 * * * * *

16 IN RE:

BK-22-50366-nmc
(Chapter 11)

17 SCOTT A. CORRIDAN,
18 Debtor.

**ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO FILE
COMPLAINT OBJECTING TO
DISCHARGEABILITY OF DEBTS**

20 Hearing Date: February 7, 2023
Hearing Time: 2:00 p.m.

21 /
22
23 The MOTION FOR ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO FILE COMPLAINT OBJECTING
24 TO DISCHARGEABILITY OF DEBTS (Docket No. 107) ("Motion"), came before the Court for
25 hearing on after notice to all creditors and parties in interest on February 7, 2023, at 2:00 p.m.;
26 with Stephen R. Harris, Esq. of Harris Law Practice LLC appearing telephonically on behalf of
27 Creditors Nate and Michelle Mudd and Home Run Northstar, LLC, and no other parties
28 appearing; and with the Court having considered all of the papers and pleadings on file herein,

1 and no opposition having been filed; with the Court having considered the relief requested, and
2 good cause appearing:

3 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Motion is approved, and the deadline for Creditors
4 Nate and Michelle Mudd and Home Run Northstar, LLC to file a complaint to determine the
5 nondischargeability of their debts pursuant to Section 523(a)(2), (4) and (6) is extended for 60
6 days from December 30, 2022, to and including February 28, 2023.

7

8 Submitted by:

9 STEPHEN R. HARRIS, ESQ.
10 HARRIS LAW PRACTICE LLC

11 /s/ *Stephen R. Harris*

12 _____
13 Attorneys for Nate and Michelle Mudd
and Home Run Northstar, LLC

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **CERTIFICATION RE: RULE 9021**

2 In accordance with Local Rule 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the
3 order accurately reflects the court's ruling and that (check one):

4

5 The court has waived the requirement set forth in LR 9021(b)(1).

6 No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion.

7 I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the
8 hearing, and any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has
9 approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party
10 and whether the party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:

11

12 I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this
13 order with the motion pursuant to LR9014(g), and that no party has objected to the form
14 or content of the order.

15

16 Dated this 7th day of March, 2023.

17

18

19

20 */s/ Stephen R. Harris*

21

22

Stephen R. Harris, Esq.
HARRIS LAW PRACTICE LLC

23

24 ###

25

26

27

28